

# OPTIMIZING ENERGY EFFICIENCY IN WIRELESS AD HOC NETWORKS FOR DISASTER MANAGEMENT: A NOVEL BROADCAST APPROACH FOR PROLONGED SENSOR LIFE

FAISAL ALZYUOD<sup>1</sup>, MONTHER TARAWNEH<sup>2</sup>, IBRAHIM ALTARAWN<sup>3</sup>, MOHAMMED AMIN ALMAIAH<sup>4</sup>, RAMI SHEHAB<sup>5</sup>, TAYSEER ALKHDOUR<sup>5</sup>, ROMEL AL-ALI<sup>6</sup> AND THEYAZAN H.H ALDAHYANI<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Information technology, Isra University, Amman, Jordan

<sup>2</sup>College of Information technology and communication, Tafila University, Tafila, Jordan

<sup>3</sup>College of Information technology and communication, Tafila University, Tafila, Jordan

<sup>4</sup>King Abdullah the II IT School, University of Jordan, Amman 11942, Jordan.

<sup>5</sup>College of Computer Science and Information Technology, King Faisal University, Al-Ahsa 31982, Saudi Arabia

<sup>6</sup>Associate Professor, The National Research Center for Giftedness and Creativity, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia

<sup>7</sup>Applied College in Abqaiq, King Faisal University, P.O. Box 400, Al-Ahsa 31982, Saudi Arabia.

Corresponding author: mtarawneh@ttu.edu.jo talkhdour@kfu.edu.sa and m.almaiah@ju.edu.jo

ID 55297 Submission	Editorial Screening	Conditional Acceptance	Final Revision Acceptance
08-08-24	11-08-2024	14-09-2024	17-09-2024

## ABSTRACT

Globalization, industry, population number growth are considered the main reasons for climate changes, so when some nature calamity or disaster occurs in any area, it leads to isolate this area from the rest of the region. Wireless ad hoc networks are used in disaster management to reduce losses and cost as they reduce the response aiding time to save lives. However, they suffer from Limited energy which controls the lifetime of the sensor. Different methods applied to save energy and increase battery life such as sleep-awake schedule which assume similar initial energy for all nodes. Domestic partition in unit disk graph is the producing of maximum possible number of such disjoint dominant. However, it assumes different initial energy level for all nodes, which is another problem. In this paper, a new broadcast approach based on minimum set data broadcasting proposed to minimize the number of broadcasting messages to increase the battery life during disasters and enhance the rescue operations.

**Keywords:** *Wireless Ad Hoc Networks; Disaster Management; Broadcasting Messages; Prolonged Sensors.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Natural disasters are an unexpected phenomenon that happen in the entire world. Climate change is considered the main reason for natural disaster[1] such as forest fires, floods, storms, volcanic eruptions, droughts and others. Dealing with a disaster is a very difficult challenge for human, it requires quick reactions to minimize the cost and save human lives. All efforts focus on the development of a system to predict disaster, plan to prevent it, and response on time. Disaster management include all the actions and procedures that are handled during unexpected phenomena which causes billions of people

deaths, injuries and homes damages in addition to environment damages, as it was published by the United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction (UNISDR) report[2].

Wireless technology plays an important role in disaster management. Wireless network is infrastructure that are suitable to be used for emergency services and military applications as it can scale to large scale, organized easily, and it is free from supported infrastructure[3]. However, wireless sensor networks suffer from limited battery life and limited resources of network nodes, so this cause loses in transmission efficiency in addition to the large mobility changes[4]. Nodes in the wireless network can communicate either directly or forwarding the

messages through intermediate nodes. The connected dominant set is considered the best solution for wireless ad hoc network to be used as a virtual backbone network[5], so Minimum Connected Dominant Set (MCDS) is used to guarantee the continuity of network operations.

There are many researches done to achieve an efficient communication between wireless sensors network that are suitable for disaster recovery operations, these researches have been built on finding a minimum connected dominating set similar to virtual backbone network[3]. Most of these research are concentrated on approximating the minimum connected dominant using the virtual backbone network with a minimum size of nodes; try to balance between energy consumption and load. It is clear that all the MCDS algorithms are based on two-stage method using maximum independent set and adding a Steiner node to construct Steiner tree[6]. Broadcasting in Wireless Sensor Networks (WSN) is an important factor in supporting many services in WSNs such as topology discovering, data collection, and code updating. Many algorithms proposed to achieve an efficient broadcasting by minimizing the number of transmission messages[6, 7]. Minimizing the number of transmissions will save energy. Therefore, duty-cycled scheme is adopted in wireless sensor networks to utilize energy depending on switching between active and passive states of nodes concurrently. All the functional modules are turned off into passive state when one of the nodes are active as a node may require several transmission to inform its neighbors nodes and this is a big challenge to construct the broadcast tree[8].

Efficient disaster management depends on the treatment scenarios necessary to reduce the direct impact of these disasters, and reduce response time, which contributes to saving lives. Note that the traditional communications infrastructure is often broken and unavailable due to quarrels, and as a result it is necessary to use alternatives solutions to the traditional communications system, represented using wireless ad hoc networks that do not require infrastructure, as these networks are allocated so quickly to exchange data effectively between rescue teams and disasters' communities. Although they can be provided quickly, they suffer from limited bandwidth, dynamic structural changes, and unreliable communications, which make it difficult to disseminate important information effectively. In this context, our paper addresses

the need to propose an innovative algorithm and methodologies that will be used to disseminate information in an optimal way during disasters using the benefits of wireless ad hoc networks. By developing a new algorithm based on the use of minimal rebroadcast procedures, we will enhance the reliability, scalability, and efficiency of information dissemination among disaster management components, thus enhancing response efforts to improve overall disaster resilience.

## 2. RELATED WORK

Climate change has huge impact on our world such as global warming, sea level, and pollution. We may consider climate change as a natural process or a result from human actions. In any case, natural phenomena have increased and become destructive. The movement of sediment, wildfire, storms, and flood will cause problems that affect human life, destroy the economy, and affect development. Therefore, we need to develop a disaster management system to understand natural disasters, then deal with these disasters, predict them, recover after disaster, and save human life. The most important problem that needs to be solved is preserving human lives. Therefore, we need to move within 3 days after the disaster to save what we can save. Any delays would cost us human lives. However, the communications system will be down after the disaster and emergency team need to communicate and share information in order to response on time[9]. They can use valuable media to communicate such as sensor networks, robots, satellite, and social networks. However, these methods are not suitable and efficient to be used in natural disaster[10] due to the infrastructure collapse. Therefore, wireless sensors networks (WNSs) are best solution than others.

The development of disaster management using sensor network has the attention of many researchers; such as flood[11], storm[12], fire[13], sediment transport [14], and more. The sensor a major element in IoT to collect data. The wireless sensor network plays a great role in disaster management due to its monitoring and conveying capabilities[15]. However, wireless sensors have limited battery power, and their lifetime is based on the power consumption. Different types of energy saving methods have been proposed to increase WSN lifetime and coverage [16-18]. The simplest method is the set the node state to sleep or wake to save power. The

WSN is distributing nodes over regions, and it is enough to make one node awake and the rest in sleep state[19]. The forwarded Minimum Dominating Set algorithm is considered the simplest, most compact, and straightforward rebroadcasting algorithm. It depends on constructing the Dominating set, minimum or not, connected or not to schedule. A node can decide to rebroadcast or not just by looking into the DS. If a node is not in the DS, it will not rebroadcast the packet. It becomes more surprising, that if the dominating set was formed in a specific order and a node decided to rebroadcast, it will just look into its location in the vector of DS, and then search for its most far away neighbor, and the difference between the two ranks will be the calculated back off this node[20]. Minimum Dominating Set algorithm was used to control the node state schedule in a WSN[21]. After that, the concept of domestic partition in unit disk graphs introduced[22], which is about producing the maximum number of disjoint dominant sets or based on disjoint weighted dominant sets[23]. This concept assume that all nodes have the same initial energy. When considering rechargeable node in WSN, then, the initial energy will be different from node to another[22]. Distributed algorithm to construct dominant sets without direct use of independent set proposed[24]. A non-trivial potential function proposed to increase to connectivity of connected dominant sets(CDS)[25]. The algorithm decomposes two connected graph into three connected components, which is called Tutt's decomposition.

In WSNs, models such as Disk Graphs (DGs), Depth-First Searches (DFS) and Unit Disk Graphs (UDGs) are used to study the performance of WSNs. An improvement made on the search techniques using variable depth search method[26]. The algorithm can traverse large space in a minimum time.

In radio network, some researchers try to study the problem of minimum-latency broadcast scheduling. the reachability of all nodes is based on neighborhood information[27]. The backbone-based technique is treated as part of topology-based approach[28]. Where the main goal of the communication backbone approach is to find a subset of nodes that will guarantee connectivity and communication coverage throughout the deployment area, while allowing every other node in the network to reach at least one node on this backbone in a direct way.

Connected Dominant Set (CDS) is considered a better choice for WSNs as the virtual backbone network. It guarantees the operation of the network by constructing the MCDS[29]. A new degree based greedy approximation is proposed to connect pseudo dominating set[30] by using two hops information, in order to reduce the CDS size. DFS is one of the most significant graph search algorithms as it can strongly find the connected components and test their planarity. DFS has much lower memory requirements than Breadth-first search (BFS), because it's not necessary to store all of the child pointers at each level[24]. It is clear from recent literature that there are several key algorithms and methodologies that provide unique strengths and address specific challenges in using wireless sensor networks (WSNs) in disaster management.

Bat algorithm is used to activate sensor node [31] to provide a new approach that uses Bat algorithm to efficiently activate sensor node, ensuring target coverage connectivity in WSNs. However, their performance may be limited by network density and needs to be further investigated using tuning mechanisms to enhance performance in diverse environments. Predictive modeling techniques for IoT-based data transmission [32] are used to enhance energy efficiency in WSNs by adapting predictive modeling techniques to explore the applicability of predictive models in different WSN scenarios and evaluate quality of service (QoS) and energy efficiency. However, there is a need to explore the applicability of predictive models in different WSN scenarios and evaluate the energy efficiency and quality of service (QoS) of the transmitted data.

Additionally, the Predefined Path Constrained Mobility for Mobile Sinks [33] addresses energy consumption challenges in mobile sink scenarios by utilizing predefined paths for data collection. However, scalability concerns and the exploration of adaptive path planning techniques based on real-time network conditions remain areas for improvement. Furthermore, the HCDSR (Hierarchical Clustered Fault-Tolerant Routing) [34] introduces a hierarchical clustered routing technique tailored for IoT-based smart societies, focusing on fault tolerance in routing for enhanced reliability. Similarly, the Energy-aware QoS MAC protocol based on prioritized-data and multi-hop routing [35] proposes a MAC protocol designed for energy efficiency and QoS in WSNs, prioritizing data transmission and utilizing multi-hop routing for improved network performance.

Despite their strengths, both approaches could benefit from investigations into their performance under varying network conditions and the optimization of parameters to further enhance energy efficiency and QoS metrics. In addition, pre-defined path constrained mobility for mobile sinks [33] addresses the energy consumption challenges in mobile sink scenarios by using pre-defined paths for data collection. With a focus on network expansion to explore adaptive path planning techniques based on real-time network conditions. Furthermore, Hierarchical Fault Tolerant Routing (HCDSR) [34] is used.

Using a hierarchical clustered routing technology specifically designed for IoT-based smart communities, which is associated with fault tolerance in routing. Similarly, an energy aware QoS MAC protocol based on prioritized data and multi-hop routing [35] uses a MAC protocol designed for energy efficiency and QoS in WSNs, which focuses on data prioritization that uses multi-hop routing to develop performance. Despite their strengths, both approaches can benefit from investigations into their performance under different network conditions using energy efficiency and QoS metrics.

Table1: A Summary For Recent Algorithms In Wireless Sensor Networks For Disaster Management

Algorithm	Key Strengths	Limitations	Areas for Improvement
Bat Algorithm for Sensor Node Activation [31]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Utilizes bat algorithm for efficient sensor node activation</li> <li>- Ensures connected target coverage in WSNs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- May require fine-tuning of algorithm parameters for different network scenarios</li> <li>- Performance impacted by network density and target distribution</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Investigate adaptive parameter tuning mechanisms for improved performance in diverse environments</li> <li>- Evaluate scalability and robustness of the algorithm in large-scale WSN deployments</li> </ul>
Predictive Model Techniques for IoT-Based Data Transmission [32]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Utilizes predictive model techniques to enhance energy efficiency in wireless sensor networks (WSNs)</li> <li>- Focuses on IoT-based data transmission</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Specific limitations not provided</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Investigate the applicability of predictive models in diverse WSN scenarios</li> <li>- Evaluate the trade-off between energy efficiency and data transmission latency</li> </ul>
Predefined Path Constrained Mobility for Mobile Sinks [33]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Utilizes predefined paths for mobile sinks in WSNs to optimize energy-efficient data collection</li> <li>- Addresses energy consumption challenges in mobile sink scenarios</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Specific limitations not provided</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Investigate the scalability of the proposed method with larger WSN deployments</li> <li>- Explore adaptive path planning techniques based on real-time network conditions</li> </ul>
HCDSR (Hierarchical Clustered Fault-Tolerant Routing) [34]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Introduces a hierarchical clustered routing technique tailored for IoT-based smart societies</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Specific limitations not provided</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Investigate the performance of HCDSR in large-scale IoT deployments</li> <li>- Explore adaptive fault-tolerance mechanisms to handle dynamic network conditions</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Addresses fault tolerance in routing for enhanced reliability</li> </ul>		
<p>Energy-aware QoS MAC protocol based on prioritized-data and multi-hop routing [35]</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Proposes a MAC protocol designed for energy efficiency and QoS in wireless sensor networks</li> <li>- Prioritizes data transmission and utilizes multi-hop routing for improved network performance</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Specific limitations not provided</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Investigate the protocol's performance under varying network densities and traffic conditions</li> <li>- Optimize parameters to further enhance energy efficiency and QoS metrics</li> </ul>
<p>Graph-enabled Reinforcement Learning for Time Series Forecasting with Adaptive Intelligence</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Utilizes reinforcement learning (RL) with graph structures for time series forecasting, allowing for adaptive intelligence</li> <li>- Offers a novel approach to address time series forecasting challenges</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Not yet peer-reviewed as it's an arXiv preprint</li> <li>- Specific limitations not provided</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Conduct empirical evaluations to validate the effectiveness of the proposed method across diverse time series datasets</li> <li>- Compare the proposed approach with existing state-of-the-art methods to benchmark its performance and scalability</li> </ul>

### 3. PROPOSED ALGORITHM

In this paper, we propose a minimum set rebroadcasting algorithm. The proposed algorithm starts from A start node S and then goes

from the second level because level 1 primary node has been determined. It includes two phases starting with the initialization phase and then proceeding to the parent node phase as shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Minimum Set Rebroadcasting Algorithm (MSRA) Process Details.

**Initialization phase:** first initializing the dominating set vector  $P$  to the root node  $s$  and a temporary storage  $Temp$  to the set of all networks (graph) nodes. Then constructing the Breadth First Search tree ( $T_{BFS}$ ) and its depth ( $l$ ); which is the number of hops from the root to the farthest node. After that, initializing each level of the tree with its nodes, and the set of neighbor nodes for each node ( $Ni(w)$ ).

**Parent node phase:** the main core of the algorithm starts in this phase. It starts from level 2 and chooses the parent for each node ( $w$ ) in the graph. This step is a challenge in construction of the broadcast vector. Because we need to go through all nodes ( $w_i$ ) and decide whether it is a primary or secondary node? the algorithm check

if any node in the dominating set vector ( $P$ ) is dominating this node, otherwise it should be treated as secondary node:

a. If there is common nodes between  $P$  and  $W$ 's neighbours, the parent node may in the same level or the previous level.

$$\text{if } (P \cap Ni(w) = \emptyset) \dots \dots \dots 1$$

b. If the node  $w$  is a leaf node, then treated as a secondary node.

$$\text{AND } [(Li + 1 \cap Ni(w)) \neq \emptyset] \dots \dots \dots 2$$

c. If node  $w$  has no neighbors in the next level, then the node itself and its parent in the



previous level with maximum, degree (to have one parent only) will be added Vector  $P$ .

d. If conditions in equations 1 and 2 are not met, it means that one of them or both are not met. This means that node  $w$  may be a leaf node or secondary node can be covered by a node in  $P$ .

$$\text{if } (P \cap N_i(w) = \emptyset) \text{ AND } [(L_{i+1} \cap N_i(w)) = \emptyset] \dots \dots \dots 3$$

In case of false: node  $w$  is a secondary node and can be covered by a node in  $P$ . But if the result was true then node  $w$  is a leaf node and not covered by any node in  $P$ , so only the parent of  $w$  will be added to  $P$ . Table 2 below simplifies the conditions.

Table 2: Truth Table For Conditions

$P \cap N_i(w)$	$L_{i+1} \cap N_i(w)$	Meaning	Disicion
$= \emptyset$	$= \emptyset$	Not covered leaf	Add parent only
$= \emptyset$	$\neq \emptyset$	Not covered not leaf	Add parent then add w
$\neq \emptyset$	$= \emptyset$	Covered leaf	No addetion
$\neq \emptyset$	$\neq \emptyset$	Covered not leaf	No addetion

Figure 2 below shows an example of FMCDS when the parent of a leaf is not in  $P$ . Assume that node 7 was reached in constructing the FMCDS,  $P$  will be  $\{s, 1, 3, 2, 5\}$ . Applying equation 3:

$$P \cap N_i(7) = \{s, 1, 3, 2, 5\} \cap \{4, 6, 7, 8\} = \emptyset$$

$$\text{AND } ((L_{i+1} \cap N_i(w)) \neq \emptyset)$$

Therefore, Node 7 is a leaf node and not dominated by any node in  $P$ , so the only node that will be added to  $P$  is the parent of node 7 as follows:

$parent(7) \leftarrow$  any node  $v$  in  $L_{i-1}$  that dominates  $w$  and has max.  $N_i(v) = \{4\}$

$P \leftarrow P \sqcup \{parent(w)\} = \{s, 1, 3, 2, 5, 4\}$

Now  $P = \{s, 1, 3, 2, 5, 4\}$ , and it will be the same after fetching node 8.




Figure 2: Example Of FMCDS.

The new change adds a new primary node 3 instead of node 4, while node 4 will be the last node in the dominating set. When it receives the message, it will calculate its backoff time as follows noting that node's 7 rank is 5 and its parent rank is 3 which is the rank of node 1:

$$\text{For node 4: Timer value } T_4 = t * (5-3-1) = t$$

Which means that node 4 will wait  $t$  time after it receive the message, table 3 illustrates the broadcast schedule for all nodes after this new change.

Table 3: Broadcast Scheduling For The Example

	S	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0	Tx	Rx	Rx	-	-	-	-	-	-
t	Rx	Tx	Waiting	Rx	Rx	-	-	-	-
2t	-	Rx	Waiting	Tx	-	-	Rx	-	-
3t	Rx	-	Tx	-	Rx	Rx	-	-	-
4t	-	-	Rx	-	waiting	Tx	-	-	Rx
5t	-	Rx	Rx	-	Tx	-	Rx	Rx	Rx

So, the message arrived collision free to all node and with latency of  $6t$ , which is equal to the cardinality of the FMCDS it is noted that there are no redundant transmissions.

The Minimum Rebroadcast Algorithm (MSRA) introduces a new two-stage approach that facilitates data propagation in dynamic networks. By adapting the original node selection to the

exact configuration, as described in the Results section, MSRA will balance the coverage area and its utilization in an efficient manner compared to traditional methods. MSRA will adjust and create node selection based on network conditions to optimize coverage area, latency and improve battery life. MSRA is robust to node failure and adaptable to architecture changes, making it a promising solution for disaster management using ad hoc networks. So MSRA will overcome communication challenges in subterranean environments.

**4. Result and analysis**

**4.1 Low density network**

If the network consists of  $n$  nodes making a string topology, then the size of the Minimum Dominating Set (MDS) is  $n-1$ . the Worst case for Minimum Connected Dominating Set (MCDS) is when a network consists of cascaded nodes, with a complexity of  $O(n-1)$ .

**4.2 High density network**

Conversely, If the node chosen in a high-density network and it is dominated to all other nodes, then the minimum dominating set is that point, and in general if the network consists of  $n$  nodes making a Mesh graph, then the minimum dominating set is the first node chosen by the algorithm. According to lemma 3, the best case for MCDS size is when a network consists of mesh network nodes and has Big O complexity  $O(1)$ .

**4.3 Effect of Transmission Range R**

Increasing the transmission range  $R$  via power augmentation or utilizing the use of high gain antennas which will assist in enhancing the coverage area of any node, potentially encompassing more nodes within a node's communication radius. This means that new nodes may be covered, and it will increase the degree of a node, and minimize the number of levels in the BFS tree, thereby inversely affecting the MDS.

**4.4 Broadcast Saving**

To check the amount of broadcast saving and compare it to the tree-based approach, the random distribution will be used, the area of the network will be fixed to 300x300 meters, the transmission range will be fixed to 100 meters, noting that these two parameters were not clear in [34], and the last parameter will be the number of nodes that be from 10 nodes up to 100 node. Also, it should be mentioned that as CDSSIM randomly distributes

the nodes inside the network, the simulations were run many times to get the case where all nodes are covered then calculate the average readings.

Figures 3 shows the number of levels of the BFS tree and Figure 4 shows the average degree of neighbors for the nodes. These figures show that increasing the number of nodes has minimum effect on the maximum number of levels of the BFS tree, it increased the average degree of nodes dramatically.




Figure 3: The Number Of Levels Of The BFS Tree




Figure 4: The Average Degree Of Neighbors For The Nodes.

If we look at the size of the dominating set, i.e. the nodes responsible for retransmission for both algorithms, Figure 5 shows the huge difference between the two schemes. Figure 6 shows the effect of the size of the dominating set on broadcast saving. We can see the big saving the FMCDS provide over the tree-based approach, where FMCDS saved on average 78%, while tree based had on average 30% saving.






Figure 5: Dominating Set Size FMCDs Vs Tree-based.




Figure 6: Broadcast Saving FMCDs Vs Tree-Based

Smart broadcast algorithm to deal with the broadcasting problems in static wireless ad hoc networks was done. The solution is based on constructing a backbone for the transmitting nodes by constructing what is called the Minimum Connected Dominating Set (MCDS). Arrange it in a special format after constructing

the Breadth First Search tree (BFS) and proposed a new way to schedule the broadcasting by sending the MDS itself with the broadcasted message and each node will decide to cancel broadcasting or to broadcast then schedule its retransmission by finding its calculated backoff according to its position in the MCDS. Both algorithms were analyzed and proved that the proposed algorithms can give the minimum dominating set in most of the cases with minimum number of steps, and that scheduling the rebroadcasting will prevent the collision effect and will minimize the total latency. Also proved that obtaining both of MDS and scheduling rebroadcasting are NP-complete problems.

Then the effect of different network parameters on the size of the dominating set were studied, where it was proved by simulation that the number of nodes have small effect on the size of the dominating set and that other parameters like degree, transmission range, area, and tree levels have more effect. An approximation for the size of the MDS in special cases was proposed and proved by simulation, this approximation depends on the average degree and the depth of the BFS tree. The proposed Simulator CDSSIM was introduced and used to prove the effectiveness of FMCDs algorithm in broadcast saving over the tree-based approach.

In this study, valuable results were obtained and can be applied to different scenarios in real disaster management, as the proposed algorithm benefited from the formation of the minimum connected control group (MCDS) and smart broadcast scheduling, enhanced communication reliability, reduced access time, and improved network efficiency. By creating an efficient backbone for MCDS, the algorithm ensures reliable delivery of messages across various scenarios, including node failure and network outages. It also reduces collisions and latency, which is considered a key factor in dealing with the effects of disasters due to the sensitivity of response time. However, several challenges arise such as computational complexity, scalability, and network dependency. We will focus on optimization as future research to deal with dynamic adaptation and integration with emerging technologies. Verifying the effectiveness of the algorithm in the real world in disaster scenarios is essential to demonstrate the success of its deployment and adoption, ultimately contributing to more robust and efficient disaster management.

## 5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This study emphasizes the need for leveraging the transmission backbone in wireless ad hoc networks to be implemented in disaster management, with special emphasis on building a minimum connected control set (MCDS) using a breadth-first search tree (BFS) algorithm. The use of MCDS based on BFS will provide optimal broadcast technology for the communication process. Moreover, efficient rebroadcast scheduling will enhance network efficiency. It is revealed that both MCDS construction and rebroadcast scheduling are NP-complete problems. To address these challenges, an intelligent and compact broadcast algorithm is proposed as a solution. This innovative solution builds the MCDS and arranges it in a specialized format. Unlike traditional approaches, where MCDS construction and rebroadcast scheduling rely on centralized tasks executed using the message root, the proposed solution relies on distributing responsibility among network nodes. This will enable each node to independently decide whether to broadcast or cancel the transmission, thus contributing to mitigating and reducing the probability of collision leading to minimum overall latency. Moreover, the proposed study reveals that the size of the dominant group is only slightly affected by the number of nodes. Instead, there are factors that have a greater influence, such as node degree, transmission range, network area, and tree levels. The proposed study presented an approximate method for estimating the size of MDS in specific scenarios, demonstrating its possibility of relying on both the average node degree and the depth of the BFS tree. There are several future research areas present themselves as optimization methods for the proposed broadcast algorithm in scenarios with different conditions such as dynamic network usage or variable traffic loads. In addition, the study of distributed methods, such as routing and resource allocation, holds promising solutions to enhance the overall performance of the network.

Moreover, extending the proposed approximation method for MCDS scaling to broader scenarios in network and topologies can contribute to process improvement. Through rigorous verification and optimization based on extensive simulation studies or real-world experiments. In conclusion, the results and methodologies presented in this study represent good solutions for further progress in improving broadcast efficiency and

network performance in wireless ad hoc networks, which contributes to increasing the possibility of benefiting from this type of network in improving natural disaster management.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research, Vice Presidency for Graduate Studies and Scientific Research, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia (Grant No. KFU241881).

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Than, K., *Scientists: Natural disasters becoming more common*. Live Science, 2005. **8**: p. 50.
- [2]. UNISDR, C., *The human cost of natural disasters: A global perspective*. 2015.
- [3]. Eshghi, F. and A.K. Elhakeem, *Performance analysis of ad hoc wireless LANs for real-time traffic*. IEEE Journal on Selected areas in communications, 2003. **21**(2): p. 204-215.
- [4]. Faisal Y.Al-zyoud, A.y.A., Wa'el Jum'ah Al\_zyadat, *Determining Minimum Cost Field in Vehicle AD-Hoc Sensor Networks*. International Journal of Computing Academic Research (IJCAR), 2017. **6**(2): p. 40-49.
- [5]. Ahn, N. and S. Park, *An optimization algorithm for the minimum k-connected m-dominating set problem in wireless sensor networks*. Wireless Networks, 2015. **21**(3): p. 783-792.
- [6]. Yan, B., *Node Deployment Algorithm Based on Improved Steiner Tree*. International Journal of Multimedia and Ubiquitous Engineering, 2015. **10**(7): p. 329-338.
- [7]. Chlamtac, I. and S. Kutten, *Tree-based broadcasting in multihop radio networks*. IEEE Transactions on Computers, 1987. **36**(10): p. 1209-1223.
- [8]. Le Duc, T., et al., *Level-based approach for minimum-transmission broadcast in duty-cycled wireless sensor networks*. Pervasive and Mobile Computing, 2016. **27**: p. 116-132.
- [9]. Erdelj, M., M. Król, and E. Natalizio, *Wireless sensor networks and multi-UAV systems for natural disaster management*. Computer Networks, 2017. **124**: p. 72-86.
- [10]. Li, L. and M.F. Goodchild, *The role of social networks in emergency management: A research agenda*, in *Managing crises and*

- disasters with emerging technologies: Advancements*. 2012, IGI Global. p. 245-254.
- [11]. Shah, S.I.A., et al. *Aqua-net: a flexible architectural framework for water management based on wireless sensor networks*. in *2011 24th Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering (CCECE)*. 2011. IEEE.
- [12]. Wang, P., et al., *On network connectivity of wireless sensor networks for sandstorm monitoring*. Computer Networks, 2011. **55**(5): p. 1150-1157.
- [13]. Kung, H.-Y., J.-S. Hua, and C.-T. Chen, *Drought forecast model and framework using wireless sensor networks*. Journal of information science and engineering, 2006. **22**(4): p. 751-769.
- [14]. Alhusban, Z. and M. Valyrakis, *Assessing sediment transport dynamics from energy perspective by using the instrumented particle*. International Journal of Sediment Research, 2022. **37**(6): p. 833-846.
- [15]. Kamel, K. and S. Smys, *Sustainable low power sensor networks for disaster management*. Journal: IRO Journal on Sustainable Wireless Systems, 2019. **4**: p. 247-255.
- [16]. You, S., et al., *Low-power wireless sensor network using fine-grain control of sensor module power mode*. Sensors, 2021. **21**(9): p. 3198.
- [17]. Faheem, M., et al. *Ambient energy harvesting for low powered wireless sensor network based smart grid applications*. in *2019 7th International Istanbul Smart Grids and Cities Congress and Fair (ICSG)*. 2019. IEEE.
- [18]. Navarro, M., Y. Liang, and X. Zhong, *Energy-efficient and balanced routing in low-power wireless sensor networks for data collection*. Ad Hoc Networks, 2022. **127**: p. 102766.
- [19]. Pino, T., S. Choudhury, and F. Al-Turjman, *Dominating set algorithms for wireless sensor networks survivability*. IEEE Access, 2018. **6**: p. 17527-17532.
- [20]. Chen, Z., et al. *A constant approximation algorithm for interference aware broadcast in wireless networks*. in *IEEE INFOCOM 2007-26th IEEE International Conference on Computer Communications*. 2007. IEEE.
- [21]. Guha, S. and S. Khuller, *Approximation algorithms for connected dominating sets*. Algorithmica, 1998. **20**(4): p. 374-387.
- [22]. Islam, K., S.G. Akl, and H. Meijer. *Maximizing the lifetime of wireless sensor networks through domatic partition*. in *2009 IEEE 34th Conference on Local Computer Networks*. 2009. IEEE.
- [23]. Balbal, S., S. Bouamama, and C. Blum, *A Greedy Heuristic for Maximizing the Lifetime of Wireless Sensor Networks Based on Disjoint Weighted Dominating Sets*. Algorithms, 2021. **14**(6): p. 170.
- [24]. Cao, Y., et al., *Graph searches and their end vertices*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.09505, 2019.
- [25]. Zhang, Z., et al. *Performance-guaranteed approximation algorithm for fault-tolerant connected dominating set in wireless networks*. in *IEEE INFOCOM 2016-The 35th Annual IEEE International Conference on Computer Communications*. 2016. IEEE.
- [26]. Kernighan, B.W. and S. Lin, *An efficient heuristic procedure for partitioning graphs*. The Bell system technical journal, 1970. **49**(2): p. 291-307.
- [27]. Rahman, A. and P. Gburzynski. *MAC-assisted broadcast speedup in ad-hoc wireless networks*. in *Proceedings of the 2006 international conference on Wireless communications and mobile computing*. 2006.
- [28]. Labrador, M.A. and P.M. Wightman, *Topology Control in Wireless Sensor Networks: with a companion simulation tool for teaching and research*. 2009: Springer Science & Business Media.
- [29]. Al-Nabhan, N., et al., *Connected dominating set algorithms for wireless sensor networks*. International Journal of Sensor Networks, 2013. **13**(2): p. 121-134.
- [30]. Mohanty, J.P., et al., *Construction of minimum connected dominating set in wireless sensor networks using pseudo dominating set*. Ad Hoc Networks, 2016. **42**: p. 61-73.3
- [31]. Kim, J., & Yoo, Y. (2020). Sensor node activation using bat algorithm for connected target coverage in WSNs. Sensors, 20(13), 3733.
- [32]. 3Bharathi, R., Kannadhasan, S., Padminidevi, B., Maharajan, M. S., Nagarajan, R., & Tonmoy, M. M. (2022). Predictive model techniques with energy efficiency for iot-based data transmission in wireless sensor networks. Journal of Sensors, 2022.

- [33]. Suleiman, M. F., & Adeel, U. (2023, November). Energy Efficient Data Collection Using Predefined Path Constrained Mobility for Mobile Sinks in Wireless Sensor Networks. In 2023 33rd International Telecommunication Networks and Applications Conference (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- [34]. Muhammed, T., Mehmood, R., Albeshri, A., & Alzahrani, A. (2020). HCDSR: A hierarchical clustered fault tolerant routing technique for IoT-based smart societies. *Smart Infrastructure and Applications: Foundations for Smarter Cities and Societies*, 609-628.
- [35]. Sakib, A. N., Drieberg, M., Sarang, S., Aziz, A. A., Hang, N. T. T., & Stojanović, G. M. (2022). Energy-aware QoS MAC protocol based on prioritized-data and multi-hop routing for wireless sensor networks. *Sensors*, 22(7), 2598.
- [36]. Shaik, T., Tao, X., Xie, H., Li, L., Yong, J., & Li, Y. (2023). Graph-enabled Reinforcement Learning for Time Series Forecasting with Adaptive Intelligence. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.10186.