

# NEW METHOD FOR FINDING THE WEIGHT DISTRIBUTION AND SPECTRUM BY TESTING THE OPTIMAL SOLUTION OF THE POINTS IN PG(3,2)

HAMID MOHAMMED KHALAF<sup>1</sup>, ASMAA SALAH ALDDIN SULAIMAN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Basic Education, University of Telafer, Tall' Afar, Mosul, Iraq

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Basic Education, University of Telafer, Mosul, Iraq

E-mail: <sup>1</sup>hamid\_math\_85@yahoo.com, <sup>2</sup>asmaa.s.sulaiman@uotelafer.edu

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, the basic solution was found by using Vogel's approximation method for the projective space PG(3,2) when  $m_i=5,7,15$  by converting the points and lines of PG(3,2) into a transportation problem with five rows and seven columns. The problem was balanced and treating Degeneracy. Then we tested the optimality of the basic solution using the modified distribution method. And then we construct a new linear codes of dimension  $p=4$  and smallest length  $m_i$  for which a  $[m_i, p, d]$ -codes exists, and by a geometric method we found the weight distribution and the spectrum of a linear code  $[m_i, p, d]$  over a field  $F_2$ .

**Keywords:** Vogel's Approximation, Projective Space, Linear Codes, Weight Distribution, Spectrum.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose there are many important messages to be sent through a noisy communication channel, in order to give these messages some protection against error on the channel, they are encoded in to codewords. The set consisting of these codewords is called a code[1]. Let  $m, p, d$  be positive integers. A code  $C: [m, p, d]$  over Galois Field  $(GF(q))^n$  is a  $p$ -dimensional subspace of  $(GF(q))^n$  with minimum distance  $d$ . In a code  $C$  of length  $m$  let  $C_i$  denote the number of codewords with Hamming weight  $i$ . The weight enumerator of  $C$  is defined by  $1 + C_1z + C_2z^2 + \dots + C_mz^m$ . The sequence  $(1, C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m)$  is called the weight distribution of the code  $C$ . In this research we study more constructions of codes from an optimal Value for the code  $C: [m_i, 4]$  over  $F_2$ , when  $m_i=5,7,15$ . The transportation problem can be stated mathematically as a linear programming problem as:

$$\text{Minimize: } z = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} x_{ij}$$

$$\text{Subject to: } \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} \leq a_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} \geq b_j; j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$x_{ij} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } i \text{ and } j.$$

Such that,  $x_{ij}$  is the amount shipped.

$c_{ij}$  is the transportation cost per unit.

$a_i$  is the amount of supply at source  $i$ .

$b_j$  is the amount of demand at destination  $j$ .

There are Two types of the Transportation Problems:

- Balancing the transportation problem: assume that total availability is equal to the total requirement:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j.$$

- Unbalancing the transportation problem: assume that total availability is not equal to the total requirement:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \neq \sum_{j=1}^n b_j.$$

The problem is treatment before starting the solution, that is, making it balanced by adding an imaginary row or imaginary column to the transportation matrix with a cost equal to zero, so that the total supply equals the total total demand. The objective of the transportation Problems is to determine the unknowns  $x_{ij}$  that will minimize the

total transportation cost while satisfying the supply and demand restrictions[2], [3], [4].

**2. PRELIMINARIES**

**2.1 Definition**

Let  $F_q^m$  denotes the vector space of m-tuple. A (m,M)-code C over Galois field  $F_q$  is a subset of  $F_q^m$  of size M. A linear  $[m, p]_q$ -code over  $F_q$  is a p-dimensional subspace of  $F_q^m$  and size  $M=q^p$ . The vectors in the linear code C are called codewords and them by  $w=w_1 w_2 \dots w_m$  where  $w_i \in F_q$ . In other words, a q-ary linear code C of length m and dimension p, or a  $[m, p]_q$ -code, is a p-dimensional subspace of  $F_q^m$ , where  $p \leq m$ . Every subspace of C is referred to as a sub code of C. The inner product of two vectors  $w=(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m)$  and  $y=(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$  from  $F_q^m$  is denoted by  $w \cdot y = w_1 y_1 + w_2 y_2 + \dots + w_m y_m$ . Two vectors are said to be orthogonal if their inner product is 0. The set of all vectors of  $F_q^m$  orthogonal to all codewords from C is called the orthogonal code:  $C^\perp = \{y \in F_q^m \mid w \cdot y = 0 \text{ for any } w \in C\}$ . By a well-known fact from linear algebra, the code  $C^\perp$  is a linear  $[m, m-p]_q$ -code. If C is a linear code that, as a vector space over  $F_q^m$  has dimension p, then we say that C is a  $[m, p]$  linear code over  $F_q$ [5], [6].

**2.2 Definition**

A code C is called a  $[m, p, d]$ -code if d is the minimum nonzero weight in C. Let  $\mathcal{G}_i$  be the number of codewords of weight i in C. The weight enumerator of C is defined by  $1 + \mathcal{G}_1 w + \mathcal{G}_2 w^2 + \dots + \mathcal{G}_m w^m$ . The weight distribution (W.D.) of C is the list of numbers  $A_i = |\{w \in C \mid W(w) = i\}|$ . The weight distribution with  $(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_m) = (1, \alpha, \dots, w, \dots)$  is also expressed as  $0^1, d^\alpha, \dots, i^w \dots$ . And the list  $\mathcal{G}_i(C)$  for  $0 \leq i \leq m$  is called the weight spectrum of C[7], [8].

**2.3 Theorem**

Let C be a  $[m, p, d]$ -code over  $F_q$  then

1.  $\mathcal{G}_0(C) + \mathcal{G}_1(C) + \dots + \mathcal{G}_m(C) = q^p$
2.  $\mathcal{G}_0(C) = 1$  and  $\mathcal{G}_1(C) = \mathcal{G}_2(C) = \dots = \mathcal{G}_{d-1}(C) = 0$ [9].

**2.4 Theorem**

For every linear  $[m, p, d]$ -code C,  $0 < \mathcal{G}_1(C) < \mathcal{G}_2(C) < \dots < \mathcal{G}_p(C) \leq m$ [1].

**2.5 Definition**

A generator matrix G of a  $[m, p]$ -code C is a  $(p \times m)$  matrix whose rows form a basis for C.

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} g_{0,0} \\ g_{0,1} \\ \vdots \\ g_{p-1,0} \\ g_{p-1,1} \\ \vdots \\ g_{p-1,m-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_{0,0} & g_{0,1} & \dots & g_{0,m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ g_{p-1,0} & g_{p-1,1} & \dots & g_{p-1,m-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

,Such that  $G^* = U_{(1 \times p)} G_{(p \times m)}$ , (U is a different row vectors)[5], [10].

**3. FINDING THE INITIAL BASIC ACCEPTABLE SOLUTION FOR THE TRANSPORTATION PROBLEMS**

The basic acceptable solution to the balanced transportation problem was found in this research using Vogel's method

**3.1 Vogel Approximation Method**

It is considered one of the most important methods for the basic and acceptable initial solution. It includes calculating the difference between the two lowest costs in each row or column, then choosing the larger difference for the rows and columns, and then choosing the square that contains the lowest cost in that area. The row or column that was previously determined, and the largest available quantity is allocated to meet the final needs or the originally available quantities run out, then the row or column that is achieved is deleted[11].

**4. TEST THE OPTIMAL SOLUTION FOR THE TRANSPORTATION PROBLEM**

Obtaining the basic, acceptable initial solution does not mean the end of the problem. Rather, we must use other methods to test whether the basic solution obtained from applying Vogel's method is the optimal solution (the only solution), that is, it is not possible to find a better solution, or whether there are solutions. Optimum, and to test the optimality of the accepted basic solution, it is necessary to ensure that the following condition is satisfy: Number of squares occupied in the initial solution = number of rows (m) + number of columns (n) - (1) ... 1

If the above equation is not satisfied, then this phenomenon is called the phenomenon of Degeneracy and must be treated because it does not allow us to form closed paths. Therefore, we add the value of  $\epsilon$  to one of the non-essential variables

(an empty cell) with the lowest cost, so that the value of  $\epsilon$  is very small and larger than zero, as the result of subtracting it from any number or adding it with any number equals the number itself, and then we find the optimal solution using the modified distribution method[12].

**4.1 Modified Distribution Method**

This method is based on the binary property of formulating the linear program for the transportation problem, as this property says that there is a set of  $u_i$  values for each row and a set of  $v_j$  values for each column for each of the non-empty cells, as these values are found according to the following equations:

$$c_{ij} = u_i + v_j \dots (1)$$

Each empty cell has a rating calculated as follows:

$$d_{ij} = c_{ij} - u_i - v_j \dots (2)$$

Since:  $c_{ij}$ : It is the transportation cost of non-empty cells.

$d_{ij}$ : It is the result of evaluating empty cells.

$u_i$ : Evaluation values for columns.

$v_j$ : Evaluation values for rows.

**5. TRANSFORMING THE STUDIED ISSUE INTO A TRANSPORTATION PROBLEM AND FINDING THE OPTIMAL SOLUTION FOR IT**

The transportation problem was applied to the three-dimensional projective space  $PG(3,2)$  when  $m_i=5,7,15$ ;  $i=1,2,3$  by converting the levels of the projective space into three transportation problems, each problem consisting of five rows and seven columns based on values  $m_i$ , as the total supply  $a_i$  is not equal the total demand  $b_j$  when  $i=1,2,3,4,5$  and  $j=1,2,3,4,5,6,7$  meaning that, the three problems are unbalanced, as they were balanced by adding an imaginary column with a cost equal to zero, so each problem became composed of five rows and eight columns, and the total supply equals the total demand and equals 15, meaning  $a_i = b_j = 15$ , The basic initial solution was found using Vogel's method. We also encountered during the solution a Degeneracy phenomenon, as the number of occupied squares was is not equal to  $m+n-1$ . The Degeneracy phenomenon was treated by adding a value of  $\epsilon$  to the lowest cost and then adopting the modified distribution method to find the optimal solution. The following tables show the results of the optimal solution (using the Modified Distribution Method), After addressing the phenomenon of Degeneracy that we encountered when The basic acceptable solution using Vogel's method was found.

**5.1 The First Studied Problem**

	$v_1 = 1$	$v_2 = 3$	$v_3 = 4$	$v_4 = 6$	$v_5 = 7$	$v_6 = 10$	$v_7 = 12$	$v_8 = -1$	
$u_1 = 0$	2	3	4	6	7	10	12	0	3
			1	1	1 <sup>+</sup>			$\epsilon^-$	
$u_2 = 0$	1	3	4	7	9	14	15	0	3
	2		1						
$u_3 = -1$	1	2	4	5	8	10	15	0	3
		2		1					
$u_4 = -1$	1	2	3	5	6	9	11	0	3
					1	2	+		
$u_5 = -1$	3	4	5	7	8	11	13	0	3
							2	1 <sup>+</sup>	
	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	

  

	2	3	4	6	7	10	12	0	3
			1	1	1				
	1	3	4	7	9	14	15	0	3
	2		1						
	1	2	4	5	8	10	15	0	3
		2		1					
	1	2	3	5	6	9	11	0	3
					1	2	$\epsilon$		
	3	4	5	7	8	11	13	0	3
							2	1	
	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	

T.C.=4\*1+6\*1+7\*1+1\*2+4\*1+2\*2+5\*1+6\*1+9\*2+11\* $\epsilon$ +13\*2+0\*1=82+11 $\epsilon$

**5.2 The second studied problem**

	$v_1 = 1$	$v_2 = 5$	$v_3 = 6$	$v_4 = 8$	$v_5 = 12$	$v_6 = 14$	$v_7 = 15$	$v_8 = 0$	
$u_1 = 0$	1	4	6	11	12	13	15	0	3
	2		1 <sup>+</sup>					$\epsilon^-$	
$u_2 = 1$	1	2	5	7	12	13	14	0	3
		+	1	2					
$u_3 = 0$	3	5	10	11	12	14	15	0	3
						1	1 <sup>+</sup>		
$u_4 = -1$	2	4	9	10	11	13	14	0	3
					2	1			
$u_5 = -2$	1	3	8	9	10	12	13	0	3
	2 <sup>-</sup>						1 <sup>+</sup>		
	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	

  

	1	4	6	11	12	13	15	0	3
	2		1						
	1	2	5	7	12	13	14	0	3
		$\epsilon$	1	2					
	3	5	10	11	12	14	15	0	3
						1	1	1	
	2	4	9	10	11	13	14	0	3
					2	1			
	1	3	8	9	10	12	13	0	3
		2					1		
	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	

T.C.=1\*2+6\*1+2\* $\epsilon$ +5\*1+7\*2+14\*1+15\*1+0\*1+1\*2+13\*1+3\*2+13\*1=110+2 $\epsilon$

**5.3 The third studied problem**

	4	5	6	8	9	12	14	0	3
			2		1				
	1	6	7	8	10	11	14	0	3
	2					1			
	5	6	7	9	10	13	15	0	3
					1		1	1	
	2	7	8	9	11	12	15	0	3
				1		1	1		
	2	3	6	8	13	14	15	0	3
		2		1					
	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	

T.C.=6\*2+9\*1+1\*2+11\*1+10\*1+15\*1+0\*1+9\*1+2\*1+15\*1+3\*2+8\*1=109

**6. GEOMETRIC METHOD FOR FINDING THE SPECTRUM**

Let  $PG(p-1, q)$  be a projective space of dimension  $(p-1)$  over a field  $F_q$  and  $\lambda$ -flat is a  $\lambda$ -dimensional projective subspace of  $PG(p-1, q)$ , such that  $|PG(\lambda, q)| = q^\lambda + q^{\lambda-1} + \dots + q + 1$ . Now, let  $F_\lambda$  be the set of all  $\lambda$ -flats in  $PG(p-1, q)$ , where  $PG(p-1, q) \ni k:i\text{-point} \Leftrightarrow k$  has multiplicity in the columns of a generator matrix  $G$  of a code  $C$  which is a multiset of  $k$  points in  $PG(p-1, q)$  and denoted by  $M_C$ . Now, for any  $S$  in  $PG(p-1, q)$ ,  $M_C(S)$  is the multiset  $\{k \in M_C \mid k \in S\}$ , the multiplicity of  $S$  denoted by  $m_C(S)$ , is defined as  $m_C(S) = |M_C(S)| = \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma_0} i |S \cap C_i|$ , where  $C_i = \{k \in PG(p-1, q) \mid k:i\text{-point}\}$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq \gamma_0$ ;  $\gamma_0 = \max\{i \mid \exists k:i\text{-point in } PG(p-1, q)\}$ . Then it holds that  $m = m_C(PG(p-1, q))$ ,  $m-d = \max\{m_C(\pi) \mid \pi \in F_{p-2}\}$ . Now, let  $a_i = |\{\pi \in F_{p-2} \mid m_C(\pi) = i\}|$ , the list of  $a_i$ 's is the spectrum of a code  $C: [m, p, d]_q$  with  $a_{m-d+1} = 1$  such that  $a_i = 0$  for  $m-d-q^t < i < m-d$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . And  $a_i = A_{m-i}/(q-1)$  for  $0 \leq i \leq m-d$ . We take a code  $C: [20, 4, 10]_2$  as an example of  $PG(3, 2)$  with generator matrix:

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Such that,

1.  $a_i = 0$  for  $m-d-q^t < i < m-d$ ;  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ .
2.  $a_{s\theta_{p-2}} = \theta_{p-1}$ ;  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ .
3.  $a_i = C_{m-i} / q-1$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq m-d$ .

So that,  $a_0 = A_{20} / 2-1 = A_{20} = 0$ ,  $a_1 = A_{19} = 0, \dots, a_6 = A_{14} = 1, a_7 = A_{13} = 0, a_8 = A_{12} = 3, a_9 = 0$  from (1),  $a_{10} = A_{10} = 11$ . Then we get the W.D.  $0^1, 10^{11}, 12^3, 14^1$  with spectrum:  $(a_6, a_8, a_{10}) = (1, 3, 11)$ .

**6.1 Construction the code C from m=5**

We take  $m=5$  which represents the optimal value of the code  $C: [5, 4]_2$  over  $F_2$ . Such that, the

generator matrix is:  $G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ; with

codewords:  $C.W. = \{[w_i * G]_{q^{p * m}} \mid w_i \in PG(p-1, q)\} = G_{16 * 5}^*$  and  $d=1$  then, we get  $C: [5, 4, 1]_2$ -code. Where:

1.  $a_i = 0$  for  $m-d-q^t < i < m-d$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ .
2.  $a_{s\theta_{p-2}} = \theta_{p-1}$ ;  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ .
3.  $a_i = C_{m-i} / q-1$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq m-d$ .

4.  $a_i = (a_{m-d-(p-1)}, a_{m-d-(p-2)}, \dots, a_{m-d})$ .

5.  $\sum a_i = \sum C_i = q^3 + q^2 + q + 1$ .

From the above we get:  $a_0 = C_5 = 1, a_1 = C_4 = 1, a_2 = C_3 = 6, a_3 = C_2 = 6, a_4 = C_1 = 1, a_5 = 0$ .

Then the W.D.:  $0^1, 1^1, 2^6, 3^6, 4^1, 5^1$  with spectrum:  $(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (1, 1, 6, 6, 1)$ .

**6.2 Construction the code C from m=7**

we take  $m=7$  which represents an optimal Value for the code  $C: [7, 4]_2$  over  $F_2$ . Such that, the

generator matrix is:  $G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ;

with codewords:  $C.W. = G_{16 * 7}^*$  and  $d=3$ , then we get  $C: [7, 4, 3]_2$  - code. Where:  $a_0 = C_7 = 1, a_3 = C_4 = 7, a_4 = C_3 = 7$ . Then we get W.D.:  $0^1, 3^7, 4^7, 7^1$  with spectrum:  $(a_0, a_3, a_4) = (1, 7, 7)$ .

**6.3 Construction the code C from m=15**

We take  $m=15$  which represents an optimal Value for the code  $C: [15, 4]_2$  over  $F_2$ . Such that, the generator matrix is:

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
;

with codewords:  $C.W. = G_{16 * 15}^*$  and  $d=8$ , then we get  $C: [15, 4, 8]_2$ -code. Where:  $a_0 = C_{15} = 0, a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = \dots = a_6 = 0$  and  $a_7 = a_8 = 15$ . Then we get W.D.:  $0^1, 8^{15}$  with spectrum:  $a_7=15$ .

**7. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS**

From the above results, we used a new geometric method for finding the spectrum and weight distribution of a linear code  $[m_i, p, d]$  over a field  $F_2$  in  $PG(3, 2)$  such that,  $a_i = 0$  for  $m-d-q^t < i < m-d$ ;  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . And  $a_i = A_{m-i}/(q-1)$  for  $0 \leq i \leq m-d$ . we construct a new linear codes of dimension  $p=4$  and smallest length  $m_i$  for which a  $[m_i, p, d]$ -codes, as shown in the table below:

I	C: $[m, 4, d]_2$	W.D.	Spectrum
1	C: $[20, 4, 10]_2$	$0^1, 10^{11}, 12^3, 14^1$	$(1, 3, 11)$
2	C: $[5, 4, 1]_2$	$0^1, 1^1, 2^6, 3^6, 4^1, 5^1$	$(1, 1, 6, 6, 1)$
3	C: $[7, 4, 3]_2$	$0^1, 3^7, 4^7, 7^1$	$(1, 7, 7)$
4	C: $[15, 4, 8]_2$	$0^1, 8^{15}$	15

In the future, we recommend finding the spectrum and weight distribution of linear codes  $[m_i, p, d]$  over  $F_3$  in  $PG(3, 3)$  in a different way.

**REFERENCES:**

- [1] Tsfasman, M. A., Vlădu, S. G. and Nogin, D., “Algebraic geometric codes: basic notions”, Vol. 139, *American Mathematical Society*, 2022.
- [2] Ahmed, M. M., Khan, A. R., Uddin, M. S., and Ahmed, F., “A new approach to solve transportation problems”, *Open Journal of Optimization*, 5(1), 2016, 22-30.
- [3] Pourfoghi, F., Saffar Ardabili, J., and Darvishi Salokolaei, D, “A new approach for finding an optimal solution for grey transportation problem”, *International journal of nonlinear analysis and applications*, 10(Special Issue (Nonlinear Analysis in Engineering and Sciences)), 2019, 83-95.
- [4] Davda, P. and Patel, J., “Developed method for optimal solution of transportation problem”, *International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology(IRJET)*, V. 06, 2019, 2.7: 11.
- [5] Al-Seraji, N. A. M., “Generalized of Optimal Codes”, *Al-Mustansiriyah Journal of Science*, 24(6), 2013, 101-108.
- [6] Al-Seraji, N. A. M., and AL-Humaidi, R. I. “Some application of coding theory in the projective plane of order three”, *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 2018, 1947-1951.
- [7] El-atrash, M. S. and Al-Ashker, M. M., “Linear codes over  $F_2 + uF_2$ ”, *Journal of The Islamic University of Gaza*, 11(2), 2003.
- [8] Ma, L., Li, G. & Liu, F., “More Constructions of 3-Weight Linear Codes”, *Journal of Mathematics*, 2021, 1-9.
- [9] Khalaf, A. M., “The Cap and weight Distribution and its Relationship with the Spectrum of Optimal Linear Codes in PG(3,13)”, *M.Sc. Thesis, college of computer science and mathematics, University of Mosul*, 2021.
- [10] Ma, W. and Luo, J., “Construction on Griesmer Codes with Dimension Not Less than Five”, *arXiv preprint arXiv*, 2009.11998., 2020.
- [11] Taha, H. A., “Operations research: an introduction”, *Pearson Education India*, 2013.
- [12] Gupta, P. K., and Hira, D. S., “Operations Research, revised edition, S.”, *New-Delhi: S. Chand and Company*, 2008.