ISSN: 1992-8645

<u>www.jatit.org</u>



THE ADAPTIVE OUTPUT SYNCHRONIZATION OF DIFFERENT-ORDER CHAOTIC SYSTEMS

¹NING LI

¹Department of Mathematical and Computational Science, Huainan Normal University, China

E-mail: ¹<u>li623ning@163.com</u>

ABSTRACT

In this paper, the synchronization of the outputs for the uncertain chaotic systems with different-orders is investigated. The adaptive control strategy is applied to make output of the slave system track those of the master, despite the chaotic systems with different-orders and uncertainties. The proposed controller can ensure synchronous error converges to zero. Simulation results confirm the effectiveness of the proposed method.

Keywords: Synchronization, output-Synchronization, adaptive control, chaotic system

1. INTRODUCTION

Since Pecora et al [1] proved the possibility of controlling chaos in early 90', the chaos synchronization has received noticeably attention due to its potential applications such as secure communication, biological systems, digital communication, chemical reaction and design, and so on. For the chaotic systems synchronization, there were several methods proposed, for example: complete (or anti) synchronization, phase synchronization, lag synchronization, generalized synchronization, intermittent lag synchronization, modified function projective synchronization [2]-[16].

Uncertainty (or disturbance) of the system is the common in real world. The system parameters are not constant when the system works. The uncertainty disturbance) may (or degrade performance of the system. To the best of our knowledge, very few have been studied the varying parameters. Wang et al. investigated the antisynchronization in non-identical chaotic systems with known or unknown parameters [3]. Lag synchronization of a class of chaotic systems with unknown parameters was proposed in [6]. Tao et al presented the time-delayed generalized projective synchronization of piecewise chaotic system with unknown parameters [14].

In this paper, we propose a new synchronization scheme, i.e., output-synchronization of the chaotic systems with different-order and uncertainties. An adaptive controller is formulated. A sufficient condition is proposed to guarantee synchronous error dynamics stable. Finally, an example is demonstrated to verify the effectiveness of the criterion proposed.

2. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Consider the master system as below:

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + \Delta f(x, \sigma, t), \tag{1}$$

$$z_1 = C_1 x, \tag{2}$$

and the slave system is given by

$$\dot{y} = g(y) + \Delta g(y, v, t) + Du, \qquad (3)$$

$$z_2 = C_2 y. \tag{4}$$

In the above equations, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ are states, $u \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is input and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ are outputs $(1 \le p \le \min\{n, m\})$. vector functions $f() \in \mathbb{R}^n, g() \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{ and } \Delta f() \in \mathbb{R}^n, \Delta g() \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{ are }$ known nonlinear terms and the nonlinear uncertainty experienced by the systems. It is assumed that all the nonlinear functions are smooth enough. σ and v are parameter disturbances, and satisfying $\|\sigma\| \le \delta_1, \|v\| \le \delta_2$, δ_1 and δ_2 are positive constants . $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ is full column rank constant matrix , $C_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ and $C_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}$ are full row rank ones.

ISSN: 1992-8645	www.jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195

The objective of this work is to synchronize the outputs of the different-order master-slave chaotic systems.

Assumption 1. The uncertainty terms

$$C_{1}\Delta f(x,\sigma,t) = \xi(x,\sigma,t) = [\xi_{1},\ldots,\xi_{n}]^{T},$$

$$C_2 \Delta g(y, v, t) = \zeta(y, v, t) = [\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_m]^T$$
 are

assumed to be bounded. Therefore, there exists ρ_{ji} such that

$$|\xi_i| \le \rho_{1i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$
 (5)

$$|\zeta_i| \le \rho_{2i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$
 (6)

where $\rho_{ji} > 0$ is constant, j = 1, 2.

3. THE MAIN RESULTS

The synchronization error of the outputs for the systems (1) and (2) is defined as $e = z_1 - z_2$, i.e.

$$e = C_2 y - C_1 x. \tag{7}$$

By derivative of both sides of (7), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \dot{e} &= C_2 \dot{y} - C_1 \dot{x} \\ &= C_2 (g(y) + \Delta g(y, v, t) + Du) \\ - C_1 (f(x) + \Delta f(x, \boldsymbol{\sigma}, t)) \,. \end{split}$$

Then the error dynamics is given by

$$\dot{e} = F + \Delta F + C_2 Du. \tag{8}$$

Where $F = C_2 g(y) - C_1 f(x)$,

$$\Delta F = [\Delta F_1, \dots, \Delta F_p]^T$$

= $C_2 \Delta g(y, v, t) - C_1 \Delta f(x, \sigma, t)$
= $\xi - \zeta$.

It is easy to see that

$$\left|\Delta F_{i}\right| \leq \rho_{i} = \rho_{1i} + \rho_{2i}.$$
(9)

The adaptive continuous control law is proposed as:

$$u = -(C_2 D)^{-1} (F + u_\Delta + u_k), \qquad (10)$$

where

$$u_{\Delta} = [u_{\Delta 1}, \dots, u_{\Delta p}], u_{k} = [u_{k1}, \dots, u_{kp}]^{T},$$
$$u_{ki} = k_{i}e_{i},$$

$$u_{\Delta i} = \hat{\rho}_i \tanh(\theta_i e_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, p$$
.

 $\hat{\rho}_i$ is the estimate of the unknown constant ρ_i . The suitable adaptive law is defined as:

$$\dot{\hat{\rho}}_i = -|e_i|, i = 1, 2, \dots, p$$
 (11)

Theorem 1. Consider the error system (8), With the control law u in Eq.(10) and adaptive law in Eq.(11), then, the error e in (7) converges to zero.

Proof: Consider the following Lyapunov function:

$$V_1 = \frac{1}{2}e^T e.$$
 (12)

Taking derivative of both sides of (12) with respective to time yields:

$$\dot{V}_{1} = e^{T} \dot{e} = e^{T} (F + \Delta F + C_{2} Du)$$

$$= e^{T} (\Delta F - u_{\Delta} - u_{k})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{p} e_{i} (\Delta F_{i} - \hat{\rho}_{i} \tanh(\theta_{i}e_{i}) - k_{i}e_{i})$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left| e_{i} \right| (\rho_{i} - \hat{\rho}_{i} \left| \tanh(\theta_{i}e_{i}) \right|) - \sum_{i=1}^{p} k_{i}e_{i}^{2}. \quad (13)$$

Now, we consider the Lyapunov function as following:

$$V = V_1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{p} (\rho_i - \hat{\rho}_i)^2.$$
(14)

By derivative of both sides of (14), we have

$$\dot{V} = \dot{V}_{1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} (\rho_{i} - \hat{\rho}_{i})\dot{\hat{\rho}}_{i}$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{p} |e_{i}|\hat{\rho}_{i}(|\tanh(\theta_{i}e_{i})| + 1) - \sum_{i=1}^{p} k_{i}e_{i}^{2}$$

$$\leq -\sum_{i=1}^{p} k_{i}e_{i}^{2} < 0.$$
(15)

This completes the proof.

Now, we use

$$z_1 = C_1(x),$$
 (16)

$$z_2 = C_2(y),$$
 (17)

as the outputs of the master-slave systems (1) and (3) instead of Eq.(2) and (4), respectively. In this

ISSN: 1992-8645	www.jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195

case, $C_1(x), C_2(x) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ are the differentiable, function vectors.

Denotes

$$\overline{C}_1 = \frac{\partial C_1(x)}{\partial x}, \overline{C}_2 = \frac{\partial C_2(y)}{\partial y}, \qquad (18)$$

$$\overline{F} = \overline{C}_2 g(y) - \overline{C}_1 f(x), \qquad (19)$$

$$\Delta \overline{F} = [\Delta \overline{F}_1, \dots, \Delta \overline{F}_p]^T$$
$$= \overline{C}_2 \Delta g(y, v, t) - \overline{C}_1 \Delta f(x, \sigma, t).$$
(20)

The error of output-synchronization is given by

$$e = C_2(y) - C_1(x).$$
(21)

The derivative of both sides of (21) is presented

$$\dot{e} = \overline{F} + \Delta \overline{F} + \overline{C}_2 Du. \tag{22}$$

Assume that $\overline{C}_2 D$ is non singular, $\left| \Delta \overline{F}_i \right| \leq \overline{\rho}_i$,

 $\overline{\rho}_i$ is positive constant. Then, we design following controller:

$$u = -(\overline{C}_2 D)^{-1} (\overline{F} + u_{\Delta} + u_k).$$
(23)

We gain the following conclusion:

Theorem 2. Consider the error system (22), With the control law u in Eq.(23) and adaptive law in Eq.(11), then, the error e in (22) converges to zero.

The proof is similar with theorem 1.

4. SIMULATIONS

In this section, we will provide an example to show the effectiveness the proposed method.

Consider following Lorenz-Stenflo chaotic system:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_{1} = a(x_{2} - x_{1}) + cx_{4}, \\ \dot{x}_{2} = rx_{1} - x_{2} - x_{1}x_{3}, \\ \dot{x}_{3} = -bx_{3} + x_{1}x_{2}, \\ \dot{x}_{4} = x_{1} + ax_{4}. \end{cases}$$
(24)

The system (24) exhibits chaos in Fig. 1, when the parameters a = 2, b = 0.7, c = 1.5, d = 26.

The Lorenz-Stenflo chaotic system with uncertainty as master system is given by:

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + \Delta f(x,t), \tag{25}$$

Where

$$f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} a(x_2 - x_1) + cx_4 \\ rx_1 - x_2 - x_1x_3 \\ -bx_3 + x_1x_2 \\ x_1 + ax_4 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Delta f(x, \sigma, t) = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta a(x_2 - x_1) + \Delta cx_4 \\ \Delta rx_1 \\ \Delta bx_3 \\ \Delta ax_4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 \sin t \\ 0.3 \cos 2t \\ 0.2 \cos 3t \\ 0.3 \sin 2t \end{bmatrix},$$

$$C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.1 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix},$$

 $z_1 = C_1 x$.

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \left[\Delta a, \Delta b, \Delta c, \Delta r\right]^T, \Delta a = 0.07 \sin t,$$

 $\Delta b = 0.05 \sin t, \ \Delta c = 0.08 \cos t, \ \Delta r = 0.06 \sin t.$

Consider following Lu chaotic system with uncertainties and input:

$$\dot{y} = g(y) + \Delta g(y, \alpha, t) + Du, \qquad (26)$$
$$z_2 = C_2 y .$$

Where

$$g(y) = \begin{bmatrix} (25\alpha + 10)(y_2 - y_1) \\ (28 - 35\alpha)y_1 + (29\alpha - 1)y_2 - y_1y_2 \\ yy - (8 + \alpha)y_3 / 3 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Delta g(y, v, t) = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \alpha(y_2 - y_1) \\ \Delta \alpha(y_1 + y_2) \\ \Delta \alpha y_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.01 \sin t \\ 0.02 \sin 2t \\ 0.2 \cos 4t \end{bmatrix},$$

$$C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 0.2 & 0.1 \\ 0 & 0.4 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix}, D = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$v = \Delta \alpha = 0.01 \sin 2t$. When parameter $\alpha = 0.8$,

and $\Delta g(y, v, t) = 0$, u = 0, the system (26) is chaos, which is shown in Fig.2. Now, by using control law (10), adaptive law (11) and choosing k = 5, The simulation results of the proposed controller are shown in Fig. 3-5. From Fig. 3-5. it is seen that synchronous error converges to zero and controller is bounded.

TITAL

Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology

© 2005 - 2013 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved





Fig.1: The Attractor Of Master System.



Fig.2: The Attractor Of Slave System.







Fig.4: The Control Errors.



Fig.5: The Control Inputs.

In this paper, a new synchronization strategy is presented. An adaptive controller is given. The designed control law ensures that the error of the output-synchronization for different-order chaotic systems with uncertainties is stable. This method is in some sense an extension of the traditional synchronous ones. It may have some potential application in secure communication, etc.

REFRENCES:

[1] L.M.Pecora, T.L.Carroll, Synchronization in chaotic systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett*, vol.64, No.8, 1990, pp.821-824.

- [2] H.N.Agiza, Chaos synchronization of Lü dynamical system, *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol.58, No.1-2, 2004, pp.11-20.
- [3] Z.Wang, Anti-synchronization in two nonidentical hyperchaotic systems with known or unknown parameters, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol.14, No.5, 2009, pp. 2366-2372.
- [4] M.G. Rosenblum, A.S.Pikovsky, J. Kurths, Phase synchronization of chaotic oscillator, *Physical Review Letters*, vol.76, No.11, 1996, pp.1804-1807.
- [5] Y.Chen, X.Chen, S.Gu, Lag synchronization of structurally nonequivalent chaotic systems with time delay, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol.66, No.9, 2007, pp. 1929-1937.
- [6] Q.Miao, Y.Tang, S.Lu, J.Fang, Lag synchronization of a class of chaotic systems with unknown parameters, *Nonlinear Dynamics*, vol.57, No.1-2, 2009, pp. 107-112
- [7] Y.Wang, Z.Guan, Generalized synchronization of continuous chaotic system, *Chaos, Solitions* & *Fractals*, vol.27, No.1, 2006, pp.97-101.

ISSN: 1992-8645	www.jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195

- [8] R,Miainieri, J. Rehacek, Projective synchronization in three-dimensional chaotic systems, *Physical Review Letters*, vol.82, 1999, pp. 3042-3045.
- [9] S.<u>Boccaletti</u>, D. L.<u>Valladares</u>, Characterization of intermittent lag synchronization, Phys. Rev. E, vol.62, 2000, pp. 7497–7500.
- [10] R.Mainieri, J.Rehacek, Projective synchronization in three-dimensional chaotic systems, *Physical Review Letters*, vol.82, 1999, pp.3042-3045.
- [11] J.A.Wang, H.P.Liu, Adaptive modified function projective synchronization of different hyperchaotic systems, *Acta Phys. Sin.* , vol.59, No.4, 2010, pp.2265-2271.
- [12] H.Du, Q.Zeng, C.Wang, Modified function projective synchronization of chaotic system, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol.42, No.4, 2009, pp.2399–2404.
- [13] J.F.Li, N.Li, Modified function projective synchronization of a class of chaotic systems, *Acta Phys. Sin.*,vol. 60, No.1, 2011, pp. 080507.
- [14] H.F.Tao, S.S.Hu, Time-delayed generalized projective synchronization of piecewise chaotic system with unknown parameters, *Acta Phys. Sin.*, vol. 60, No.1, 2011, pp. 010514.
- [15] T.Betmart, P.Niansup, X.Liu, synchronization of non-autonomous chaotic systems with timevary delay via delayed feedback control, *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat*, vol.17, 2012, pp.1894-1907.
- [16]J.Yu, C.Hu, H.J.Jiang, Z.D.Teng, synchronization of nonlinear systems with delays via periodically nonlinear intermittent control, *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat*, vol.17, 2012, pp.2978-2989.
- [17]N.Li, W.Xiang, H.Liu, function vector synchronization of uncertain chaotic systems with input nonlinearities and dead-zones, *Journal computational information systems*, vol.8, No.22, 2012, pp.9491-9498.